

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/578,324	KOLARI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	SATYENDRA K. SINGH	1657	

**All Participants:**

(1) SATYENDRA K. SINGH.

(2) GERALD MURPHY (ATTORNEY).

**Status of Application:** AFTER FAOM

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 June 2010

**Time:** 3 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *see attached copy of EXAM. AMEND. faxed to applicants.*

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*N/A*

Claims discussed:

*OF THE RECORD*

Prior art documents discussed:

*N/A*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's attorney of record Mr. Gerald Murphy (phone- 703-205-8000; x8020 direct) were telephonically contacted and provided (by fax at 703-205-8050; see copy attached) with a proposed examiner's amendment to pending process claims 1-10, 15 and 16 (which were tentatively found to be allowable through an in-house patentability conference with the Primary examiner, Irene Marx and SPE, Jon Weber on 6/16/2010) for applicant's considerations. Mr Murphy, later called the examiner (on June 21<sup>st</sup> 2010) and informed that applicants have accepted the examiner's amendment as proposed.

/Satyendra K. Singh/  
Examiner, AU 1657